

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,798	03/17/2004	Kyoko Izuha	04329.3271	1896	
22852	7590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			WHITMORE, STACY		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2825		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					9
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/801,798		IZUHA ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Stacy A. Whi		2825	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication	n appears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED S' WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIONGER, FROM THE MAILIN be available under the provisions of 37 CF rom the mailing date of this communicatio specified above, the maximum statutory pe set or extended period for reply will, by see Office later than three months after the stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. eriod will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicate	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDON	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is , 3) ☐ Since this ap	to communication(s) filed on general files final. 2b) plication is in condition for all cordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	This action is non- owance except for	formal matters, p		erits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2 Application Papers 9) ☐ The specifica 10) ☒ The drawing(solution Applicant may Replacement of the specificant may Replacement may Replacement of the specificant may Replacement may Replacement of the specifi		ndrawn from consideration requirements. The are: a) accepted the drawing(s) be horrection is required in	ement. If or b) objected aeld in abeyance. S if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.			the attached Office	e Action of Tomin 10-	102.
12) Acknowledgm a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	nent is made of a claim for for Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documed copies of the priority documes of the certified copies of the ation from the International Bured detailed Office action for a	ments have been rements have been repriority documents ureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applica s have been recei ⁿ 7.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Sta	age
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	B/08) 5)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (Notice of Informal Other:		i2)

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Claims 1-22, groups 1-5. The species are independent or distinct because claims 1-22 are directed towards various embodiments or aspects of verifying mask patterns as disclosed in the brief description as well as the detailed description sections of applicant's specification.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Richard Burgujian on April 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2825

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A. Whitmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stacy A Whitmore Primary Examiner Art Unit 2825

MIM

SAW April 6, 2006